

C S 101-0001 Intro Computing - Spring 2005

School Of Engineering And Applied Science (10154)

INSTRUCTORS: Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)

Respondents: 393 / Enrollment: 415

Summary: C S 101-0001 Intro Computing - Spring 2005 (10154)	
Overall Course Rating C S-101-0001 Mean 3.91 C S-101-0001 Std Dev 0.80 C S-101-0001 Response Count 1952	Overall Instructor Rating INSTRUCTOR: Bloomfield, Aaron S. Mean 4.27 Std Dev 0.76 Response Count 2710
Difference from Category Mean, Expressed in Category Standard Deviations 	Difference from Category Mean, Expressed in Category Standard Deviations
SEAS, 100-level courses Mean 3.90 SEAS, 100-level courses Std Dev 0.86 SEAS, 100-level courses Response Count 4531	SEAS, 100-level courses Mean 4.24 SEAS, 100-level courses Std Dev 0.83 SEAS, 100-level courses Response Count 6318

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~	~ ANSWER MATRICES ~																																
<p>1. How was the pace of the lectures for you?</p> <p>Question Type: Multiple Choice</p> <p><i>contributed by Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)</i></p>	<p>Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Total</th> <th>Too fast (NA)</th> <th>Fast (NA)</th> <th>Fine (NA)</th> <th>Slow (NA)</th> <th>Too slow (NA)</th> <th>Not applicable (i.e. did not attend lecture) (NA)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>392</td> <td>8 (2.04%)</td> <td>76 (19.39%)</td> <td>266 (67.86%)</td> <td>17 (4.34%)</td> <td>5 (1.28%)</td> <td>20 (5.10%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Results for SEAS, 100-level courses</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Total</th> <th>Too fast (NA)</th> <th>Fast (NA)</th> <th>Fine (NA)</th> <th>Slow (NA)</th> <th>Too slow (NA)</th> <th>Not applicable (i.e. did not attend lecture) (NA)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>392</td> <td>8 (2.04%)</td> <td>76 (19.39%)</td> <td>266 (67.86%)</td> <td>17 (4.34%)</td> <td>5 (1.28%)</td> <td>20 (5.10%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Total	Too fast (NA)	Fast (NA)	Fine (NA)	Slow (NA)	Too slow (NA)	Not applicable (i.e. did not attend lecture) (NA)	392	8 (2.04%)	76 (19.39%)	266 (67.86%)	17 (4.34%)	5 (1.28%)	20 (5.10%)	Total	Too fast (NA)	Fast (NA)	Fine (NA)	Slow (NA)	Too slow (NA)	Not applicable (i.e. did not attend lecture) (NA)	392	8 (2.04%)	76 (19.39%)	266 (67.86%)	17 (4.34%)	5 (1.28%)	20 (5.10%)				
Total	Too fast (NA)	Fast (NA)	Fine (NA)	Slow (NA)	Too slow (NA)	Not applicable (i.e. did not attend lecture) (NA)																											
392	8 (2.04%)	76 (19.39%)	266 (67.86%)	17 (4.34%)	5 (1.28%)	20 (5.10%)																											
Total	Too fast (NA)	Fast (NA)	Fine (NA)	Slow (NA)	Too slow (NA)	Not applicable (i.e. did not attend lecture) (NA)																											
392	8 (2.04%)	76 (19.39%)	266 (67.86%)	17 (4.34%)	5 (1.28%)	20 (5.10%)																											
<p>2. How many programming courses have you taken prior to this course?</p> <p>Question Type: Multiple Choice</p> <p><i>contributed by Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)</i></p>	<p>Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Total</th> <th>Zero (NA)</th> <th>One (NA)</th> <th>Two (NA)</th> <th>Three (NA)</th> <th>Four or more (NA)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>392</td> <td>314 (80.10%)</td> <td>64 (16.33%)</td> <td>9 (2.30%)</td> <td>2 (0.51%)</td> <td>3 (0.77%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Results for SEAS, 100-level courses</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Total</th> <th>Zero (NA)</th> <th>One (NA)</th> <th>Two (NA)</th> <th>Three (NA)</th> <th>Four or more (NA)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>392</td> <td>314 (80.10%)</td> <td>64 (16.33%)</td> <td>9 (2.30%)</td> <td>2 (0.51%)</td> <td>3 (0.77%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Total	Zero (NA)	One (NA)	Two (NA)	Three (NA)	Four or more (NA)	392	314 (80.10%)	64 (16.33%)	9 (2.30%)	2 (0.51%)	3 (0.77%)	Total	Zero (NA)	One (NA)	Two (NA)	Three (NA)	Four or more (NA)	392	314 (80.10%)	64 (16.33%)	9 (2.30%)	2 (0.51%)	3 (0.77%)								
Total	Zero (NA)	One (NA)	Two (NA)	Three (NA)	Four or more (NA)																												
392	314 (80.10%)	64 (16.33%)	9 (2.30%)	2 (0.51%)	3 (0.77%)																												
Total	Zero (NA)	One (NA)	Two (NA)	Three (NA)	Four or more (NA)																												
392	314 (80.10%)	64 (16.33%)	9 (2.30%)	2 (0.51%)	3 (0.77%)																												
<p>3. Upon ENTERING the course, how likely were you to MAJOR in computer science or computer engineering (or the CS for CLAS program)?</p> <p>Question Type: Multiple Choice</p> <p><i>contributed by Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)</i></p>	<p>Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Total</th> <th>Very unlikely (NA)</th> <th>Unlikely (NA)</th> <th>Neutral (NA)</th> <th>Likely (NA)</th> <th>Very Likely (NA)</th> <th>Not applicable (NA)</th> <th>Am minoring in CS/CpE instead (NA)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>391</td> <td>213 (54.48%)</td> <td>88 (22.51%)</td> <td>41 (10.49%)</td> <td>25 (6.39%)</td> <td>13 (3.32%)</td> <td>8 (2.05%)</td> <td>3 (0.77%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Results for SEAS, 100-level courses</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Total</th> <th>Very unlikely (NA)</th> <th>Unlikely (NA)</th> <th>Neutral (NA)</th> <th>Likely (NA)</th> <th>Very Likely (NA)</th> <th>Not applicable (NA)</th> <th>Am minoring in CS/CpE instead (NA)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>391</td> <td>213 (54.48%)</td> <td>88 (22.51%)</td> <td>41 (10.49%)</td> <td>25 (6.39%)</td> <td>13 (3.32%)</td> <td>8 (2.05%)</td> <td>3 (0.77%)</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Total	Very unlikely (NA)	Unlikely (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Likely (NA)	Very Likely (NA)	Not applicable (NA)	Am minoring in CS/CpE instead (NA)	391	213 (54.48%)	88 (22.51%)	41 (10.49%)	25 (6.39%)	13 (3.32%)	8 (2.05%)	3 (0.77%)	Total	Very unlikely (NA)	Unlikely (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Likely (NA)	Very Likely (NA)	Not applicable (NA)	Am minoring in CS/CpE instead (NA)	391	213 (54.48%)	88 (22.51%)	41 (10.49%)	25 (6.39%)	13 (3.32%)	8 (2.05%)	3 (0.77%)
Total	Very unlikely (NA)	Unlikely (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Likely (NA)	Very Likely (NA)	Not applicable (NA)	Am minoring in CS/CpE instead (NA)																										
391	213 (54.48%)	88 (22.51%)	41 (10.49%)	25 (6.39%)	13 (3.32%)	8 (2.05%)	3 (0.77%)																										
Total	Very unlikely (NA)	Unlikely (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Likely (NA)	Very Likely (NA)	Not applicable (NA)	Am minoring in CS/CpE instead (NA)																										
391	213 (54.48%)	88 (22.51%)	41 (10.49%)	25 (6.39%)	13 (3.32%)	8 (2.05%)	3 (0.77%)																										

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

4. Upon LEAVING the course, how likely were you to MAJOR in computer science or computer engineering (or the CS for CLAS program)?

Question Type: Multiple Choice

contributed by Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.							
Total	Very unlikely (NA)	Unlikely (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Likely (NA)	Very Likely (NA)	Not applicable (NA)	Am minoring in CS/CpE instead (NA)
390	156 (40.00%)	105 (26.92%)	77 (19.74%)	14 (3.59%)	25 (6.41%)	7 (1.79%)	6 (1.54%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses							
Total	Very unlikely (NA)	Unlikely (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Likely (NA)	Very Likely (NA)	Not applicable (NA)	Am minoring in CS/CpE instead (NA)
390	156 (40.00%)	105 (26.92%)	77 (19.74%)	14 (3.59%)	25 (6.41%)	7 (1.79%)	6 (1.54%)

5. Upon ENTERING the course, how likely were you to MINOR in computer science or computer engineering?

Question Type: Multiple Choice

contributed by Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.							
Total	Very unlikely (NA)	Unlikely (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Likely (NA)	Very Likely (NA)	Not applicable (NA)	Am majoring in CS/CpE instead (NA)
390	190 (48.72%)	91 (23.33%)	56 (14.36%)	29 (7.44%)	7 (1.79%)	11 (2.82%)	6 (1.54%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses							
Total	Very unlikely (NA)	Unlikely (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Likely (NA)	Very Likely (NA)	Not applicable (NA)	Am majoring in CS/CpE instead (NA)
390	190 (48.72%)	91 (23.33%)	56 (14.36%)	29 (7.44%)	7 (1.79%)	11 (2.82%)	6 (1.54%)

6. Upon LEAVING the course, how likely were you to MINOR in computer science or computer engineering?

Question Type: Multiple Choice

contributed by Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.							
Total	Very unlikely (NA)	Unlikely (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Likely (NA)	Very Likely (NA)	Not applicable (NA)	Am majoring in CS/CpE instead (NA)
392	130 (33.16%)	96 (24.49%)	96 (24.49%)	28 (7.14%)	19 (4.85%)	12 (3.06%)	11 (2.81%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses							
Total	Very unlikely (NA)	Unlikely (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Likely (NA)	Very Likely (NA)	Not applicable (NA)	Am majoring in CS/CpE instead (NA)
392	130 (33.16%)	96 (24.49%)	96 (24.49%)	28 (7.14%)	19 (4.85%)	12 (3.06%)	11 (2.81%)

7. In terms of understanding the course material, how helpful was the textbook?

Question Type: Multiple Choice

contributed by Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.						
Total	Very UNhelpful (NA)	Unhelpful (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Helpful (NA)	Very helpful (NA)	Did not use it (NA)
390	11 (2.82%)	41 (10.51%)	101 (25.90%)	162 (41.54%)	63 (16.15%)	12 (3.08%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses						
Total	Very UNhelpful (NA)	Unhelpful (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Helpful (NA)	Very helpful (NA)	Did not use it (NA)
390	11 (2.82%)	41 (10.51%)	101 (25.90%)	162 (41.54%)	63 (16.15%)	12 (3.08%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

8. In terms of understanding the course material, how helpful were the labs?

Question Type: Multiple Choice

contributed by Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.

Total	Very UNhelpful (NA)	Unhelpful (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Helpful (NA)	Very helpful (NA)	Did not do the labs (NA)
390	3 (0.77%)	9 (2.31%)	48 (12.31%)	195 (50.00%)	135 (34.62%)	0 (0.00%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses

Total	Very UNhelpful (NA)	Unhelpful (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Helpful (NA)	Very helpful (NA)	Did not do the labs (NA)
390	3 (0.77%)	9 (2.31%)	48 (12.31%)	195 (50.00%)	135 (34.62%)	0 (0.00%)

9. In terms of understanding the course material, how helpful were the programming homeworks?

Question Type: Multiple Choice

contributed by Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.

Total	Very UNhelpful (NA)	Unhelpful (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Helpful (NA)	Very helpful (NA)	Did not do the programming homeworks (NA)
390	3 (0.77%)	13 (3.33%)	39 (10.00%)	194 (49.74%)	140 (35.90%)	1 (0.26%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses

Total	Very UNhelpful (NA)	Unhelpful (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Helpful (NA)	Very helpful (NA)	Did not do the programming homeworks (NA)
390	3 (0.77%)	13 (3.33%)	39 (10.00%)	194 (49.74%)	140 (35.90%)	1 (0.26%)

10. In terms of understanding the course material, how helpful were the CodeLab assignments?

Question Type: Multiple Choice

contributed by Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.

Total	Very UNhelpful (NA)	Unhelpful (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Helpful (NA)	Very helpful (NA)	Did not do the CodeLab assignments (NA)
392	5 (1.28%)	15 (3.83%)	41 (10.46%)	183 (46.68%)	148 (37.76%)	0 (0.00%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses

Total	Very UNhelpful (NA)	Unhelpful (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Helpful (NA)	Very helpful (NA)	Did not do the CodeLab assignments (NA)
392	5 (1.28%)	15 (3.83%)	41 (10.46%)	183 (46.68%)	148 (37.76%)	0 (0.00%)

11. In terms of understanding the course material, how helpful were the lectures?

Question Type: Multiple Choice

contributed by Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.

Total	Very UNhelpful (NA)	Unhelpful (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Helpful (NA)	Very helpful (NA)	Did not attend lectures (NA)
391	2 (0.51%)	16 (4.09%)	85 (21.74%)	179 (45.78%)	80 (20.46%)	29 (7.42%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses

Total	Very UNhelpful (NA)	Unhelpful (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Helpful (NA)	Very helpful (NA)	Did not attend lectures (NA)
391	2 (0.51%)	16 (4.09%)	85 (21.74%)	179 (45.78%)	80 (20.46%)	29 (7.42%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

12. In terms of understanding the course material, how helpful were the slides that were posted on the website?

Question Type: Multiple Choice

contributed by Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.						
Total	Very UNhelpful (NA)	Unhelpful (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Helpful (NA)	Very helpful (NA)	Did not use the slides (NA)
392	1 (0.26%)	8 (2.04%)	61 (15.56%)	162 (41.33%)	129 (32.91%)	31 (7.91%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses						
Total	Very UNhelpful (NA)	Unhelpful (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Helpful (NA)	Very helpful (NA)	Did not use the slides (NA)
392	1 (0.26%)	8 (2.04%)	61 (15.56%)	162 (41.33%)	129 (32.91%)	31 (7.91%)

13. In terms of understanding the course material, how helpful were the previous semester's tests that were posted on the website?

Question Type: Multiple Choice

contributed by Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.						
Total	Very UNhelpful (NA)	Unhelpful (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Helpful (NA)	Very helpful (NA)	Did not use the previous tests (NA)
392	2 (0.51%)	20 (5.10%)	86 (21.94%)	148 (37.76%)	98 (25.00%)	38 (9.69%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses						
Total	Very UNhelpful (NA)	Unhelpful (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Helpful (NA)	Very helpful (NA)	Did not use the previous tests (NA)
392	2 (0.51%)	20 (5.10%)	86 (21.94%)	148 (37.76%)	98 (25.00%)	38 (9.69%)

14. In terms of understanding the course material, how helpful were the TA office hours?

Question Type: Multiple Choice

contributed by Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.						
Total	Very UNhelpful (NA)	Unhelpful (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Helpful (NA)	Very helpful (NA)	Did not attend TA office hours (NA)
390	4 (1.03%)	10 (2.56%)	69 (17.69%)	44 (11.28%)	44 (11.28%)	219 (56.15%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses						
Total	Very UNhelpful (NA)	Unhelpful (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Helpful (NA)	Very helpful (NA)	Did not attend TA office hours (NA)
390	4 (1.03%)	10 (2.56%)	69 (17.69%)	44 (11.28%)	44 (11.28%)	219 (56.15%)

15. In terms of understanding the course material, how helpful were the professor's office hours?

Question Type: Multiple Choice

contributed by Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.						
Total	Very UNhelpful (NA)	Unhelpful (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Helpful (NA)	Very helpful (NA)	Did not attend the professor's office hours (NA)
390	1 (0.26%)	6 (1.54%)	76 (19.49%)	33 (8.46%)	19 (4.87%)	255 (65.38%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses						
Total	Very UNhelpful (NA)	Unhelpful (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Helpful (NA)	Very helpful (NA)	Did not attend the professor's office hours (NA)
390	1 (0.26%)	6 (1.54%)	76 (19.49%)	33 (8.46%)	19 (4.87%)	255 (65.38%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

16. In terms of understanding the course material, how helpful were your fellow students?

Question Type: Multiple Choice

contributed by Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.						
Total	Very UNhelpful (NA)	Unhelpful (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Helpful (NA)	Very helpful (NA)	Did not talk about 101 to my fellow students (NA)
392	3 (0.77%)	4 (1.02%)	35 (8.93%)	151 (38.52%)	182 (46.43%)	17 (4.34%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses						
Total	Very UNhelpful (NA)	Unhelpful (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Helpful (NA)	Very helpful (NA)	Did not talk about 101 to my fellow students (NA)
392	3 (0.77%)	4 (1.02%)	35 (8.93%)	151 (38.52%)	182 (46.43%)	17 (4.34%)

17. In terms of understanding the course material, how helpful was the split between CS 101 and CS 101-E?

Question Type: Multiple Choice

contributed by Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.						
Total	Very UNhelpful (NA)	Unhelpful (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Helpful (NA)	Very helpful (NA)	Not applicable (NA)
392	11 (2.81%)	21 (5.36%)	188 (47.96%)	59 (15.05%)	27 (6.89%)	86 (21.94%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses						
Total	Very UNhelpful (NA)	Unhelpful (NA)	Neutral (NA)	Helpful (NA)	Very helpful (NA)	Not applicable (NA)
392	11 (2.81%)	21 (5.36%)	188 (47.96%)	59 (15.05%)	27 (6.89%)	86 (21.94%)

18. On average, how many lectures did you attend per week?

Question Type: Multiple Choice

contributed by Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.					
Total	0 (NA)	0.5 (NA)	1 (NA)	1.5 (NA)	2 (NA)
391	46 (11.76%)	43 (11.00%)	59 (15.09%)	98 (25.06%)	145 (37.08%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses					
Total	0 (NA)	0.5 (NA)	1 (NA)	1.5 (NA)	2 (NA)
391	46 (11.76%)	43 (11.00%)	59 (15.09%)	98 (25.06%)	145 (37.08%)

19. On average, how many hours per week did you spend on this course (including lectures)?

Question Type: Multiple Choice

contributed by Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.					
Total	Less than 1 (NA)	1-3 (NA)	4-6 (NA)	7-9 (NA)	10 or more (NA)
387	14 (3.62%)	137 (35.40%)	208 (53.75%)	28 (7.24%)	0 (0.00%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses					
Total	Less than 1 (NA)	1-3 (NA)	4-6 (NA)	7-9 (NA)	10 or more (NA)
387	14 (3.62%)	137 (35.40%)	208 (53.75%)	28 (7.24%)	0 (0.00%)

20. On average, how many hours per week did you spend on your other courses (including lectures)?

Question Type: Multiple Choice

contributed by Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.					
Total	Less than 1 (NA)	1-3 (NA)	4-6 (NA)	7-9 (NA)	10 or more (NA)
390	5 (1.28%)	39 (10.00%)	162 (41.54%)	87 (22.31%)	97 (24.87%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses					
Total	Less than 1 (NA)	1-3 (NA)	4-6 (NA)	7-9 (NA)	10 or more (NA)
390	5 (1.28%)	39 (10.00%)	162 (41.54%)	87 (22.31%)	97 (24.87%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

21. Was this course required for your major?

Question Type: Multiple Choice

contributed by Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.			
Total	Yes (NA)	It was one of a few options for a requirement (NA)	No (NA)
390	331 (84.87%)	14 (3.59%)	45 (11.54%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses			
Total	Yes (NA)	It was one of a few options for a requirement (NA)	No (NA)
390	331 (84.87%)	14 (3.59%)	45 (11.54%)

22. What is your sex? We ask this question because there is a lot of research on gender-related issues in computer science.

Question Type: Multiple Choice

contributed by Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.			
Total	Male (NA)	Female (NA)	I would rather not answer (NA)
392	262 (66.84%)	129 (32.91%)	1 (0.26%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses			
Total	Male (NA)	Female (NA)	I would rather not answer (NA)
392	262 (66.84%)	129 (32.91%)	1 (0.26%)

23. What year of schooling at UVa are you in?

Question Type: Multiple Choice

contributed by Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.							
Total	1st (NA)	2nd (NA)	3rd (NA)	4th (NA)	Graduate (NA)	Continuing education (NA)	Other (NA)
392	357 (91.07%)	21 (5.36%)	10 (2.55%)	3 (0.77%)	1 (0.26%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses							
Total	1st (NA)	2nd (NA)	3rd (NA)	4th (NA)	Graduate (NA)	Continuing education (NA)	Other (NA)
392	357 (91.07%)	21 (5.36%)	10 (2.55%)	3 (0.77%)	1 (0.26%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

24. What grade do you expect to receive in this course? Ignore pluses and minuses (so a B+, B, and B- would all count as a B).

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.							
Total	Mean	Std Dev	A (4)	B (3)	C (2)	D (1)	F (0)
390	3.61	0.61	256 (65.64%)	118 (30.26%)	13 (3.33%)	2 (0.51%)	1 (0.26%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses							
Total	Mean	Std Dev	A (4)	B (3)	C (2)	D (1)	F (0)
390	3.61	0.61	256 (65.64%)	118 (30.26%)	13 (3.33%)	2 (0.51%)	1 (0.26%)

25. What university school are you in (i.e. SEAS, CLAS, etc)?

Question Type: Short Answer

contributed by Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.	
Total	Individual Answers
388	See below for Individual Results

- SEAS, woot woot
- SEAS`
- SEAS
- SEAS now, switching to CLAS
- e-schoo
- SEAS woot woot!

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

- systems engineering
- systems engineering
- systems engineering
- Systems Engineering and Economics
- Physics & Biochem
- Computer Engineering with the engineering business minor
- Mathematics & Sociology
- Biomedical or Chemical engineering
- Computer Science or Mechanical Engineering
- chem E
- commerce
- Civil Engineering
- Physics
- Aerospace Engineering

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

COG SCI

Aero Engineering

Government

cs or systems

Mathematics and Cognitive Science

Biomedical Engineering or Mechanical Engineering

cpe

economics

Biomedical Engineering/Premed

Systems

ece

Mathematics education

Aerospace

Aerospace

Engineering Sciences

Systems engineering

Systems engineering

Civil Engineering and perhaps History

civil

civil

cs

Religious Studies

Religious Studies

Commerce

I am majoring in Biomedical Engineering.

CPE

CPE

undecided

Electrical Engineering

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

Electrical Engineering
 Biology
 Biology
 Biology
 Biomed
 Biomed
 Electrical engineering
 Business
 Civil engineering
 Civil engineering
 Civil engineering
 CS
 CS
 changing to precomm
 Systems Engineering with a Biochemical Focus
 Math
 Math
 Math
 Math
 BIOMEDICAL ENGINBEERING
 MAtematics and Physics
 civil Engr
 i am transferring to major in computer science somewhere else
 Mathematics and Economics
 COGNITIVE SCIENCE
 systems
 Transferring to the college- hopefully Commerce
 Biomedical Eng
 systems or electrical engineering
 mechanical engr
 SYSTEM ENGINEERING
 Mechanical Engineering

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

- Mechanical Engineering
- mechanical
- mechanical
- Econ
- Econ
- BioMed
- Systems and Economics
- Biomedical
- Biomedical
- CLAS CS, music or english
- Urban and Environmental Planning
- electrical engineering
- electrical engineering
- Systems or Computer Engineering
- System Engineering
- Cognitive science and psychology
- Environmental Science (transferring to CLAS)
- Areospace Engineering
- Astronomy
- either biomedical or chemical engineering
- Comp Sci
- SYSTEMS
- SYSTEMS
- History
- History
- EE
- EE

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

- EE
- EE
- EE
- BIOMED, OR E.S. WITH MINOR IN MSE
- engineering science
- Chemical Engineering
- physics
- Civil
- Civil
- Civil
- mec
- Computer Science
- Architecture
- MEchanical Engineering
- majoring in mathematics and economics
- Neuroscience
- Neuroscience
- Economics
- Aeronautical engineering
- Mathematics, with possible minor/major in Computer Science
- cognitive science
- BIOMED
- Math and economics
- Political Philosophy, Policy and Law/Political Theory
- Computer Engineering
- Computer Engineering
- Computer Engineering

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

- Computer Engineering
- Psych
- Financial Systems Engineering
- Chem E
- biomedical engineering
- Biochemistry
- Engineering Science (Physics)
- Transfer to CLAS - Commerce
- Biomedical Engineering or Chemical Engineering
- CIVIL ENGINEERING
- environmental science, possible cognitive science
- Mathematics
- Mathematics
- Mathematics
- Mathematics
- PHYSICS and Math
- Mechanical
- Mechanical
- Mechanical
- Mechanical
- Mechanical
- physics and math
- MAThematics
- psychology

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

Systems Engineering
Electrical Engineering
mechanical engineering
mechanical engineering
mechanical engineering
mechanical engineering
now Physics/CS
ME
systems
MECHANICAL
Civl
Systems and Information Engineering
Systems and Information Engineering
SIE

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

Asian
Asian
Asian
Asian
ASIAN
ASIAN
Indian
Indian
white black asian indian native american
I am an average white boy
black
caucasain
AFRICAN AMERICAN
asian
asian
asian
asian
White
White
SRI-LANKAN
i dunno
middle-eastern
CAUCASIAN
Persian
Persian
IRISH!
whitey
cauasian
International, Chinese.
American, Caucasian
White as they come
black/hispanic/asian
semetic
White / Caucasian - not Hispanic
Caucasian White
Asian-pacific
Caucasion
Caucasion
Caucasion

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~ ~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

	<p>Caucasian</p> <p>Caucasian</p> <p>White/Asian</p> <p>Asianish</p> <p>White Caucasian</p> <p>african american</p> <p>Hipanic/Latino</p> <p>BSD</p> <p>I am an American.</p> <p>african/native american</p> <p>Asian American</p> <p>Asian American</p> <p>Asian American</p> <p>Asian/international/foreigner</p> <p>cracka'</p> <p>African American/Chinese</p>
--	--

<p>28. Which is your favorite demotivator? See http://www.cs.virginia.edu/~asb/demotivators/ for a full list. Please list up to three, and indicate which is your most favorite to third most favorite.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">~ Question Type: Short Answer ~ <i>contributed by Bloomfield, Aaron S. (asb2t)</i></p>	Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.				
	<table border="1" style="margin: auto; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="width: 50%;">Total</th> <th style="width: 50%;">Individual Answers</th> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">333</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><i>See below for Individual Results</i></td> </tr> </table>	Total	Individual Answers	333	<i>See below for Individual Results</i>
Total	Individual Answers				
333	<i>See below for Individual Results</i>				

	<p>Goals</p> <p>indifference... and motivation</p> <p>Goals Potential Flattery</p> <p>Persistence</p> <p>Persistence</p> <p>Persistence</p> <p>AMBITION</p> <p>Failure Arrogance Conformity</p> <p>laziness -1st procrastination -2nd goals -3rd</p> <p>defeat, dispair, failure</p> <p>Apathy Dare to Slack Discovery</p> <p>Dare to Slack, Doubt, Ignorance</p> <p>1. Indifference 2. Potential 3. Goals</p> <p>achievement</p> <p>the ice burge one</p> <p>Stupidity Winners never quit, quitters never win, etc</p>
--	---

Persistence Ambition Cluelessness
laziness
1. dreams 2. ambition 3. discovery
Burnout Discovery Dreams Failure Futility Regret Stupidity
Dysfunction
Dysfunction
Mistakes Potential Elitism
Procrastination Potential Mistakes
Procrastination Get to Work Goals
Meetings Individuality Persistence
Attitude, Dreams, Inspiration
motivation goals potential
ambition
Losing - 1st Persistence - 2nd Individuality - 3rd
Despair
ARROGANCE ELITISM TEAMWORK
1. Indifference 2. Individuality 3. Procrastination
procrastination loneliness get to work
Persistence Despair
1. Underachievement 2. Losing 3. Potential
Agony Disloyalty Achievement
Cluelessness (3), Defeat (2), Futility (1)
success
first of all, something about demotivators...id seen them all before :-/ that being said, 1) agony 2) ambition 3) idiocy
limitations pessimism sacrifice
cluelessness - 3rd elitism- 2nd failure- first
Goals Motivation Procrastination
1) Consulting 2) Futility 3) Procrastination
1.Procrastination 2.motivation 3.Dare to Slack ALSO AWESOME: Despair elitism idiocy indifference individuality persistence
idiocy
potential - not everyone gets to be an astronaut losing - if at first you don't succeed, failure may be your style regret - it hurts to make mistakes...
procrastination, potential, flattery
1) Laziness 2) Mediocrity 3) Potential
Laziness Losing Sacrifice
1. loneliness 2. discovery 3. get to work
1. Dreams 2. Leader 3. Irresponsibility
1) Nepotism 2) Motivation
Procrastination Stupidity Underachievement

Goals - 1 Burnout - 2 Power - 3
persistence
Discovery Idiocy Losing
failure
failure
failure
Delusions Potential Trouble
Goals, Flattery, and Pessimism
Flattery Get to Work Idiocy
Favorite--"Meetings" 2nd-- "Procrastination" 3rd-- "Disloyalty"
destiny
I liked them all - don't get rid of them.
Discovery Get to Work Goals
persistence, flattery, goals
(1) motivation (2) potential (3) procrastination
Achievement (1) Arrogance (2) Mistakes (3)
Stupidity
GET TO WORK
1. dare to slack 2. defeat 3. dysfunction
ambition, burnout, and discovery were pretty good.
1. Get To Work 2. Limitations 3. Failure
1- Get to Work, 2- Potential, 3- Doubt
teamwork
teamwork
burnout, despair, elitism
burnout, despair, elitism
Motivation Discovery Individuality
defeat,demotivation, failure(favorite!)
dreams get to work idiocy
underachievement
POTENTIAL
Individuality Demotivation Despair
Individuality: Always remember you are unique. Just like everybody else.
1st - flattery 2nd - ambition 3rd - failure
Failure
Failure
Failure
Failure
Failure

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

blame motivation indifference

All of them are funny

sacrifice, procrastination, and disloyalty

1. dreams 2. failure 3. flattery

Potential!!

all

Potential Not everyone gets to be an astronaut when they grow up

Flattery- first Idoicy- second Nepotism-third

1. Loneliness, 2. Leaders, 3. Motivation

Flattery 3 Idividuality 2 Underachievement 1

1.) Despair 2.) Ambition 3.) Potential

1. Motivation 2. Indifference 3. Cluelessness

Failure, Individuality, Mistakes

1. Doubt 2. Failure 3. Goals

Persistance, Elitism, Ineptitude

1) persistence 2) discovery 3) motivation

Losing. If at first you dont succeed, failure just may be your style.

Arrogance Cluelessness Persistence

1.)PERSISTENCE, 2.)DEFEAT, 3.)DESPAIR

ambition apathy cluelessness procrastination

Brian Cassidy's: "If at first you don't succeed, let a TA succeed for you."

1. Potential 2. Defeat 3. Get To Work

1. Persistence 2. Discovery 3. Goals

Indifference

Mediocrity, Irresponsability

Motivation Potential

couldnt pick just 3... 1)Doubt 2)Loneliness 3)Procrastination 4)Adversity

(1) Discovery, (2) Persistance, (3) Procrastination

procrastination

Most Favorite- Potential 2nd-Motivation 3rd-Underachievement

Loneliness Underachievement Adversity

PERSISTENCE

1.Dare to slack 2.Flattery 3.Get to work

not sure

Persistence (fav blame underachievement

The one about outsourcing

Motivation

Meetings -third most Burnout Achievement - favorite

don't know

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

Defeat(3rd), Cluelessness(2nd), and Dreams(1st)

Dreams (1), Doubt (2), and Elitism (3); but they're all AMAZING

1) Achievement 2) Change 3) Ignorance

1. Potential 2. Laziness 3. Flattery

Its over man let her go.

1. Indifference 2. Persistence 3. Potential

loneliness has them all by a landslide

Loneliness

favorite: futility 2nd: bitterness 3rd: defeat

Leaders Laziness Individuality-favorite

The one with the kid punching the other kid in soccer because I used to do that when I played.

Goals Procrastination Success

Laziness Discovery Loneliness

1 (most favorite). Potential 2. Flattery 3. Procrastination

Blame

1. Not everyone can be an astronaut (with the fries) 2. Failure (when your best just isn't good enough)

Defeat: For every winner, there are dozens of losers. Chances are, you're Bloomfield.

the one that made fun of indian workers that get hired for less

Get to work Futility Potential

McDonalds

I liked all of them!

FAILURE

PERSISTENCE "its over man, let her go"

Persistence, Potential, Achievement, Conformity.

from first to last: power, potential, persistence

adversity

French Fries

Ambition, Burnout, Pessimism, in that order

1st Indifference 2nd Laziness 3rd Idiocy

individuality

1. Potential

Motivation

Motivation

Mistakes-1 Pretension-2 Laziness-3

1 - Get to Work; 2 - Losing; 3 - Despair;

Potential Mistakes Idiocy

1. Goals ... haha, look at the kids' faces 2. Persistence 3. Nepotism ... cute photo

#1: "Planning" #2: "Cluelessness" #3: TIE between "Discovery" & "Get to Work"

Agony Potential Trouble

1 - Pretension 2 - Stupidity 3 - Motivation

1) Pessimism 2) Consulting 3) Over-achievement

1 Misfortune, 2 Losing, 3 Laziness

no comment

1 Ambition 2 Dysfunction 3 Motivation

Mediocrity

Individuality, potential, and motivation.

Persistence Delusions Despair

1. doubt 2. indifference 3. dare to slack

Motivation(most favorite) Power Procastination

meetings.

Discovery, Individuality, Persistence

I like them all - but unfortunately I wasn't able to access the list above, apparently I'm outside the community of trust. www.despair.com 1) Pretension 2) Meetings 3) Consulting

1. Persistence 2.Humiliation 3.Dare to Slack

1--Potential 2--Change 3--Motivation

Underachievement (most favorite). Flattery Conformity

1. Discovery (lol!!) 2. Incompetence (lol!!) 3. Failure (lol!!)

the rowing one, something about you're not paid to achieve your goals (showed it a the beggining of second test)

1. procrastination 2. potential 3. leaders

Dare To Slack

Burnout

indifference

Burnout Dreams irresponsibility

ambition burnout change

failure integrity

All of them

mistakes success stupidity

I actually don't like the demotivators. Very few of them were actually funny. They did have good pictures sometimes though, so if I had to pick, it would be Ambition, with the bear eating the salmon.

motivation

I don't know

Tie between "Doubt," "Elitetism" and "Potential"

1) Success 2) Flattery 3) Despair

1.persistence 2.procrastination 3.stupidity

1. irresponsibility 2. limitations 3. procrastination

1. Procrastination Mediocrity 3. Motivation

1. Discovery 2. Dreams 3. Laziness

1 ambition 2 goals 3 potential

Teamwork, Power, Meetings

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

Humiliation, Ignorance, Doubt

Risks Problems Teamwork

None

mediocrity

1) Elitism 2) Nepotism 3) Indifference

1) Bitterness 2) Individuality 3) Demotivation

Mediocrity, Failure, Idiocy

1st - Dispair 2nd - Defeat 3rd - Futility

Failure get to work Idiocy

potential, dare to slack, underachievement

1) Motivation 2) Potential 3) Persistence

N/A

1. Humiliation 2. Meetings 3. Dysfunction

flattery, futility, get to work

If you find yourself struggling with loneliness, you're not alone. And yet you are alone. So very alone.

Elitism Pretension Achievement

1. Achievement 2. Discovery 3. Consulting

Flattery Goals Procrastination

My choices from 1st to 3rd: potential, motivation, cluelessness (and I emphatically recommend potential)

1) Consulting 2) Persistence

Defeat Dreams Potential

disloyalty, blame, apathy

1. Delusions 2. Doubt 3. Mediocrity

Indifference (with the jaguar)

Ambition, Failure, Motivation

1 - Underachievement 2 - Goals 3 - Demotivation

3. Achievement(pyramids) 2. Discovery(Taj Mahal) 1(Best). Loneliness(Snow)

Apathy

Apathy

Apathy

Demotivation, Pesistence, Potential

Already did this

Ambition Conformity Agony

all of them

they were all good, gave a nice break in lecture

potential

potential

1) Ambition 2) Indifference 3) Underachievement

game

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

1. Persistence 2. Ambition 3. Stupidity

Humiliation (#3) Idiocy (#1!!!!) Mistakes (#2)

1. underachievement 2. potential 3. ambition

Motivation: if a cute saying and a pretty poster are all it takes to motivate you, you will probably have a very easy job. The kind robots will be doing soon.

Procrastination Strife Meetings

Persistence Potential Misfortune

1)Defeat 2)failure 3)mediocrity

don't have any, I don't like any of them

Get to Work

Motivation: If A pretty poster and a cute sayng are all it takes to motivated you...

1. Potential (favorite) 2. Burnout 3. Mistakes

dare to slack, or burnout

1. Bitterness 2. Mediocrity 3. Mistakes

whats that?

Motivation, Intimidation, and UnderAchievement

1.Motivation 2.Potential 3.Blame

Dare to Slack

Change - 2 Flattery - 1 Goals - 3

1. Idiocy 2. Ambition 3. Mistakes

potential discovery failure

apathy, burnout, get to work

dare to slack cluelessness

Discovery

Failure - 5th Defeat - 4th Losing - 3rd Individuality - 2nd Persistence - 1st

1. Potential most favorite 2.Idiocy 3.Failure

1 - problems 2 - potential 3 - planning

Despair Flattery Persistence

1. Potential 2. Mediocrity 3. Ambition

Motivation Potential Ambition

Achievement, Despair

elitism

Ambition Dreams THE ONES THAT KID MADE

the french fries one

1.Flattery 2.Idiocy 3.Meetings

1 Ambition 2 Idiogy 3 Mediocrity

1- Potential 2- Consulting 3- Discovery

Procrastination - Favorite Stupidity - 2nd Sacrifice (chessboard) - 3rd

BLAME, Change, Get to work

Laziness: Succes is a journey not a destination, so stop running

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

Potential - Most Favorite Procrastination - 2nd Discovery - 3rd

flattery failure burnout in that order from most favorite to third most favorite

1. Persistence 2. Stupidity 3. Defeat

bitterness laziness retirement

potential

INDIVIDUALITY DISCOVERY UNDERACHIEVEMENT

Ambition

Ambition

most favorite: leaders 2nd: individuality 3rd: laziness

MISTAKES

conformity

conformity

1. Under Achievement 2. Persistence 3. Irresponsibility

Stupidity Success Trouble

Ineptitude

1. Potential - especially the timing of its display 2. Loneliness 3. Adversity Favorite Unofficial demotivator: Fan-submitted Success

Loneliness Individuality Dysfunction Dreams Doubt Delusions Im sorry, its too hard to distinguish between these! they are all so good... it was hard enough to narrow the list this far down!

1. dysfunction 2. doubt 3. Dare to slack

Potential - with the fries Motivation Change - with the tornado I also like those student submitted ones because they were really funny and they applied to the class. Make sure you show those next year!

Defeat failure agony

1. Dare to Slack, 2. Underachievement, 3. Get to Work

burnout

Persistence, Discovery, Flattery

1) Regret, 2) Persistence, 3) Get to Work

dare to slack ELITISM! Get to Work

1. Ambition 2. Individuality 3. Idiocy

goals idiocy ineptitude

Procrastination

Potential, Leaders, Discovery,

Despair Goals Idiocy

1 - Potential 2 - Power 3 - Underachievement

Failure and Dysfunction

futility

GOALS

1. Failure 2. Laziness 3. Agony

1. consulting 2. defeat 3. loneliness

1.Procrastination 2.Loneliness 3.Motivation

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

Discovery, Individuality, Laziness

lab lecture homework

indifference ineptitude loneliness

1. loneliness 2. cluelessness 3. underachievement

1 individuality 2 doubt 3 dreams

1. Motivation 2. Doubt

indifference, ineptitude, and insane

Fear

Fear

29. The subject matter was challenging.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering
and Applied Science

Results for C S-101-0001

Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
391	3.79	0.82	52 (13.30%)	244 (62.40%)	63 (16.11%)	25 (6.39%)	7 (1.79%)	0 (0.00%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses

Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
908	3.71	0.94	142 (15.64%)	495 (54.52%)	154 (16.96%)	91 (10.02%)	24 (2.64%)	2 (0.22%)

30. The objectives of the course were clearly stated and accomplished.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering
and Applied Science

Results for C S-101-0001

Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
390	4.03	0.65	76 (19.49%)	258 (66.15%)	47 (12.05%)	8 (2.05%)	1 (0.26%)	0 (0.00%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses

Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
906	4.05	0.76	234 (25.83%)	531 (58.61%)	101 (11.15%)	33 (3.64%)	6 (0.66%)	1 (0.11%)

31. There was a reasonable level of effort expected for the credit hours received.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering
and Applied Science

Results for C S-101-0001

Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
390	4.05	0.65	80 (20.51%)	265 (67.95%)	31 (7.95%)	14 (3.59%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses

Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
905	4.06	0.71	216 (23.87%)	567 (62.65%)	88 (9.72%)	28 (3.09%)	5 (0.55%)	1 (0.11%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

32. The homework assignments helped me learn the subject matter.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for C S-101-0001								
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
391	4.16	0.64	110 (28.13%)	241 (61.64%)	34 (8.70%)	6 (1.53%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses								
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
906	4.14	0.72	266 (29.36%)	497 (54.86%)	87 (9.60%)	23 (2.54%)	3 (0.33%)	30 (3.31%)

33. The textbook increased my understanding of the material.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for C S-101-0001								
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
390	3.50	1.00	47 (12.05%)	180 (46.15%)	96 (24.62%)	48 (12.31%)	16 (4.10%)	3 (0.77%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses								
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
906	3.51	0.99	98 (10.82%)	380 (41.94%)	210 (23.18%)	86 (9.49%)	38 (4.19%)	94 (10.38%)

34. The course material was well organized and developed.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.								
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
387	4.27	0.70	151 (39.02%)	198 (51.16%)	30 (7.75%)	7 (1.81%)	1 (0.26%)	0 (0.00%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses								
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
903	4.21	0.81	355 (39.31%)	423 (46.84%)	85 (9.41%)	27 (2.99%)	9 (1.00%)	4 (0.44%)

35. The instructor was knowledgeable about the subject matter.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.								
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
389	4.65	0.57	270 (69.41%)	100 (25.71%)	18 (4.63%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (0.26%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses								
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
905	4.58	0.64	583 (64.42%)	261 (28.84%)	50 (5.52%)	2 (0.22%)	3 (0.33%)	6 (0.66%)

36. The instructor was well prepared for class.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.								
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
388	4.61	0.57	253 (65.21%)	118 (30.41%)	16 (4.12%)	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	1 (0.26%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses								
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
902	4.49	0.70	528 (58.54%)	296 (32.82%)	55 (6.10%)	13 (1.44%)	2 (0.22%)	8 (0.89%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

37. The instructor (not Teaching Assistants) was accessible for individual assistance.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.								
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
387	3.96	0.79	72 (18.60%)	140 (36.18%)	63 (16.28%)	8 (2.07%)	1 (0.26%)	103 (26.61%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses								
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
901	4.07	0.84	246 (27.30%)	323 (35.85%)	136 (15.09%)	16 (1.78%)	8 (0.89%)	172 (19.09%)

38. The grading policy was fair.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.								
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
386	4.17	0.77	138 (35.75%)	186 (48.19%)	51 (13.21%)	8 (2.07%)	2 (0.52%)	1 (0.26%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses								
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
901	4.14	0.86	338 (37.51%)	402 (44.62%)	111 (12.32%)	34 (3.77%)	11 (1.22%)	5 (0.55%)

39. The instructor responded adequately to in-class questions.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.								
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
387	4.19	0.72	127 (32.82%)	199 (51.42%)	41 (10.59%)	5 (1.29%)	2 (0.52%)	13 (3.36%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses								
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
903	4.23	0.78	354 (39.20%)	411 (45.51%)	83 (9.19%)	22 (2.44%)	7 (0.78%)	26 (2.88%)

40. As a teacher, this instructor was better than most others in this School.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Dean of the School of Engineering and Applied Science

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.								
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
386	3.95	0.85	111 (28.76%)	142 (36.79%)	106 (27.46%)	8 (2.07%)	2 (0.52%)	17 (4.40%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses								
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)	Not Applicable (NA)
903	3.92	0.95	273 (30.23%)	320 (35.44%)	228 (25.25%)	29 (3.21%)	19 (2.10%)	34 (3.77%)

41. The average number of hours per week I spent outside of class preparing for this course was:

Question Type: Multiple Choice

contributed by Office of the Provost

Results for C S-101-0001					
Total	Less than 1 (NA)	1 - 3 (NA)	4 - 6 (NA)	7 - 9 (NA)	10 or more (NA)
387	55 (14.21%)	241 (62.27%)	83 (21.45%)	7 (1.81%)	1 (0.26%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses					
Total	Less than 1 (NA)	1 - 3 (NA)	4 - 6 (NA)	7 - 9 (NA)	10 or more (NA)
903	146 (16.17%)	497 (55.04%)	221 (24.47%)	35 (3.88%)	4 (0.44%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

42. I learned a great deal in this course.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Office of the Provost

Results for C S-101-0001							
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
385	4.13	0.70	111 (28.83%)	222 (57.66%)	44 (11.43%)	7 (1.82%)	1 (0.26%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses							
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
898	4.07	0.81	273 (30.40%)	465 (51.78%)	117 (13.03%)	36 (4.01%)	7 (0.78%)

43. Overall, this was a worthwhile course.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Office of the Provost

Results for C S-101-0001							
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
385	4.03	0.83	110 (28.57%)	200 (51.95%)	58 (15.06%)	11 (2.86%)	6 (1.56%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses							
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
898	4.04	0.89	284 (31.63%)	442 (49.22%)	117 (13.03%)	36 (4.01%)	19 (2.12%)

44. The course's goals and requirements were defined and adhered to by the instructor.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Office of the Provost

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.							
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
386	4.23	0.58	117 (30.31%)	241 (62.44%)	26 (6.74%)	2 (0.52%)	0 (0.00%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses							
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
902	4.23	0.69	313 (34.70%)	499 (55.32%)	74 (8.20%)	12 (1.33%)	4 (0.44%)

45. The instructor was approachable and made himself/herself available to students outside the classroom.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Office of the Provost

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.							
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
387	4.06	0.67	95 (24.55%)	222 (57.36%)	68 (17.57%)	1 (0.26%)	1 (0.26%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses							
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
904	4.10	0.77	288 (31.86%)	439 (48.56%)	158 (17.48%)	14 (1.55%)	5 (0.55%)

46. Overall, the instructor was an effective teacher.

Question Type: Likert

contributed by Office of the Provost

Results for C S-101-0001, Bloomfield, Aaron S.							
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
387	4.32	0.66	160 (41.34%)	197 (50.90%)	26 (6.72%)	3 (0.78%)	1 (0.26%)

Results for SEAS, 100-level courses							
Total	Mean	Std Dev	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
903	4.25	0.80	373 (41.31%)	425 (47.07%)	72 (7.97%)	22 (2.44%)	11 (1.22%)

~ QUESTIONS AND DETAILS ~

~ ANSWER MATRICES ~

47. Please make any overall comments or observations about this course:~
Question Type: Short Answer~
contributed by Office of the Provost

Results for C S-101-0001

Total	Individual Answers
114	See below for Individual Results

Enjoyable, worthwhile class.

would've been better to spread the c-homeworks out. ex, you do c-homework for chapter five right after you've finished chapter five, instead of in a bunch with 5, 6 and 7. homeworks helped a lot.

professor rocks

It was tough for me but beneficial.

Very good introduction to programming. Tough subject to find a good place to start.

Good overall

woohoo, class is great!

I think a lot of the material introduced in lecture was not fully understood by a lot of people until we had to do a J-hw and had no idea what was going on. Then everyone would scramble for answers, but did eventually learn the material. Reading the book was a helpful addition, especially if done before class. The Codelab hws were also among the most helpful, and were quick and rather easy, so I would have rather had another of those than a J-hw which i could not do without a lot of help. I also wonder, why do people come to class to sleep? I wouldn't mind a few erasers thrown or people called out on it, because there is really no point for them to be there and it's disrespectful to the professor. I am in the college, and no one would dare sleep, but i guess the E-school is a different world.

Aaron Bloomfield was an excellent lecturer. Well-prepared, very good slides, awesome humor breaks. I didn't like the book at all. For starters, since the lectures were so good, I only used it as a reference, and it was an awful reference - poorly organized! Get a new book next year!

The tests this year seemed harder than last years for some reason, which I did not think was fair, but other than that, it was a worthwhile course.

none

I still can't quite see why non EE CPE CS people have to take this course.

I have taken 2 years of CS through high school, but not in java, but in Visual Basic, hence this course was more a matter of learning the syntax of Java than learning how to program. Easy, well taught course

This should not be a mandatory class.

It was a good course but I dont understand why this or the other class (study of information) are important to take unless the major is computer science

I found this class to be challenging, worthwhile and increased my interest in computer science. The programming homework assignments could be improved upon. Often they were difficult due to unclear instruction causing much frustration. Professor Bloomfield showed great interest in the success of the students. He was fair, encouraging and eager to help everyone learn. Overall, this was a great course.

He made a (no offense) really boring topic into something that was easy to pay attention to in lecture.....demotivators are great.

Not for me, but a good course

In general, teaching computer science in a 500 person class is just contradictory to the nature of the material. It really should be taught with each student at a computer learning the material but I know this is hard to do. I just think I didnt learn as much as I could have had it been a smaller class with more one on one help.

This course was enjoyable

One of the biggest problems I had was not having enough repetition actually writing programs. The labs and codelab for good for small basics, but everytime I sat down to write a J homework I had to look up how to do simple things all over again because the simple statements were always provided for us in codelab or lab. More repetition in writing complete programs would have helped. I felt like actually writing a program every other week wasn't enough. Maybe a few smaller J homeworks would have been a nice compliment to the labs and codelab.

It was an interestin course for me and I learned something new. The only thing I would say is have more examples of how to use things, or have more CodeLab, I found that the most helpful of everything.

The lectures were not nearly as helpful as the assignments for learning the material. You really have to do it, rather than hear about it, which can be confusing and boring to try to communicate. Prof. Bloomfield made a good effort, however; I like the breaks in the subject matter with humor and the attempt to keep class lively.

Professor was very passionate about the subject which makes coming to class and learning the material very interesting. Although some lectures could be rather boring, the professor did a good job trying to portray the information as interesting as he could with helpful powerpoints and fun breaks. Labs were but could perhaps not be required to attend the lab section (make optional) The homeworks were typically very challenging and often peer help was the best help. TA's in labs were very helpful/knowledgeable. I came into this course not knowing what programming even meant and now feel comfortable using basic Java. good course, very rewarding!

The class was too big & the 101E class being curved with the 101 class is horrible. I feel that I am being punished because I never had the opportunity to take Computer Science before and compete with kids who have had multiple semesters of the same subject.

the textbook for this course is awful

These evaluations should be grouped by topic, i.e. "Questions 20-35 refer to Aaron S. Bloomfield" instead of repeating that line for each question.

Excellent course, thinking about a CS minor now

The course was laid out well and conducted well.

w00t! Overall, this class was very good. Though I haven't taken any CS classes before, I have had a lot of programming experience, so a lot of the material was easy for me. Bloomfield was very good at explaining things, as well as holding the class's attention with amusing asides. Very good class!

An interesting course and a good introduction to programming.

Well Organized worthwhile class

Coming into this class, I expected to really hate it. However, I ended up actually enjoying it. Bloomfield did a really good job of clearly presenting material and explaining it in depth. The assignments were reasonable in length and difficulty and the labs were actually helpful and didn't cause elevated stress levels (like chem lab, argh!!!!) I really can't think of a lot that could be done to improve the course aside from allowing us to somehow use lecture slides on the test instead of the book, or make our own cheat sheet. Aside from during the tests, I didn't really use the book (I preferred to use the lecture slides,) so I basically paid a lot of money for a test cheatsheet.

It was an easy class, but I did learn a lot about programming and I actually enjoyed parts of it. I think that Professor Bloomfield was very well organized and I really appreciated the extent to which he worked in order to clearly present the course information.

The homeworks, at times, were a little ridiculous. Lectures were boring, but with 500 people, there really wasn't a better way to teach the material. I am a proponent of lab-based CS learning...that's where I learned the most.

Prof. Bloomfield explained everything clearly in class, and was very willing to help me outside of class. If he realized after lecture that he had made a mistake or not explained something clearly, he would go over it again in the next lecture, rather than passing over it. I had to miss several labs due to personal reasons, and he was very understanding in allowing me to make them up.

Overall it was an interesting class. But why do I need this class for my major???

Bloomfield is a good teacher, although the class size is too large.

It would have been helpful to have the answers to last semester's tests for studying purposes.

the course was very interesting however it fortified my decision to stay in mechanical engineering

Bloomfield was awesome but the course just wasn't my favorite because I believe it is a LOGICAL type of thinking when you are coding, something I am bad at...lol...sadly. Textbook needs to be changed/ didn't help much/ not organized well at all

J7 is a little much...but thanks for the help. Love the demotivators!

I thought this course was really interesting, that that means a lot from someone who hates computers. Bloomfield was a great teacher, he really knows his stuff and you could tell he spent a lot of time molding each lecture to what the students needed. He also understood that 75 minutes is a long time to sit and listen to someone lecture so he broke class up with jokes which really helped keep the students paying attention.

Good job nice class

Aaron S. Bloomfield is a most excellent teacher. I'm glad they let/made him teach CS101.

I liked this course a lot. It made me change from Computer Engineering to Computer Science for my major.

CS 101 and CS 101E should have separate curves for class. It is unfair for the cs101 students should have to compete with students that already have programming experience.

I never would have taken a computer class, had it not been required. It was interesting, but I didn't feel like it was worthwhile. I don't really understand why it is mandatory.

I liked the book a lot!! I didn't attend the lectures because I don't like huge lecture halls, but the book was so well-written that I could learn everything I needed from it without much trouble. Also, Professor Bloomfield was very accessible and responded to e-mails and questions thoroughly and quickly. I liked this class very much.

i think professor Bloomfield did a very good job teaching a difficult subject
good course.

I enjoyed the music at the beginning of every class very much, and the humor tossed in the middle of the lectures. I understand that being familiar with programming language is important for students, but I felt at times that things could be better explained in more plain english, such as during lectures, when it wouldn't have given away too much on an assignment or made the course too easy. For a course that could be a boring hell, Prof. Bloomfield made this semester wholly enjoyable. Thanks

I've already taken Java and used it before, so this course was a piece of cake. p.s. I lub you. you shmeel like hot bishkits.

It was fun and challenging at times!

Although I will never take another computer science class, I am glad that I took this one, and I learned a lot.

n/a

This was probably one of the best classes i've ever taken. Professor Bloomfield made this class awesome!

good intro course for CS

great course but i dont want to take it. computer science is not my type.

I absolutely loved this class! I enjoyed the subject matter, the lectures, and most of the TAs were very helpful. I enjoyed doing the work.

It was a really fun course. The actually programming homeworks are good. In fact, if they came out a full two weeks before the due date they could have been longer and more difficult. That being said the grading would need to be adjusted accordingly. The codelab assignments were pretty much worthless in my opinion. Also, make sure to only activate (or allow students to "see") the questions that are actually due on codelab. On one assignment I missed a couple points because I answered questions that weren't part of the assignment, but at the end, codelab reported that I had submitted something like 109/107 questions correct and on time. But in fact only 105 of my answers were to assigned questions so I ended up missing a couple points. No big deal, just sort of annoying. I think you did the best job you could having to teach a course of 400-500 students. It needs to be smaller. I would suggest this, get rid of 101E, have the two professors teach two sections each of 100 students each. Or find a really good TA and allow them to teach a section. Thanks!!!

Talk a little bit slower, use better examples, choose appropriate fonts/colors, pay more attention to students (many questions went unanswered), fix your cough/allergies (not to be mean; I'm concerned for your health), get more pets and show us their pictures

It was good course to understand the CS

Personally, I was not able to learn Java by listening to lectures about code or even read the text. I had to sit down at a computer with a task and try to do it myself. That's why the J-homeworks, C-homeworks, and labs were so important (J-homeworks and labs especially).

The grading standards need to be laid out at the beginning of the course. NOT changed throughout

Bloomfield is the biggest nerd ever.

Great class...just wish I did better. Have an awesome summer.

Professor Bloomfield was one of the best teachers I have ever had. Way to make a dull class not so painful!

The online tests should have answers along with them. Some of the slides ask questions that were answered in the lecture but should be answered on the slide as well, so that students that forgot these answers can check them later online.

Good course, teacher and TA's were always helpful. Introduced Java basics well.

A lot of my friends had previous courses in computer science whether it be JAVA C++ etc. It might be helpful to have CS 101 split into three sections so the absolute beginners(like myself) are not as intimidated and discouraged. The class often moved a bit fast for me.

The textbook was useless, just try to sit down for more than one minute and try to read it, it doesn't explain anything. What's worse is that one of the professors wrote it and is making money off of it when the book isn't helpful at all! Besides that Professor Bloomfield is an awesome professor. Keep Professor Bloomfield and give him a raise while you are thinking about it XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX Thank you very much for reading this far.

Thank you!

Great course! At the beginning of the semester, I was worried that this was going to be my most challenging class that I was going to have the most problems in, but in actuality, it was the only class I had this semester where

This is my favorite class with my favorite professor here at the University.

I attended class regularly and read the textbook and still felt that I never understood anything. If it hadn't been for a friend who explained things to me I would have been completely lost.

I thought that the labs were frustrating sometimes because one of the TAs was not helpful the others were but one impartial, who shall remain nameless, left students with no more insight into their problems than before they came. Often he would shrug off questions and say, "Its right there, figure it out" which seemed to be the opposite of his purpose. other than that i felt the tests were harder than previous years, and the old tests seemed to make me feel overconfident when coming to w new test.

the last homework was too damn hard

Eventhough the textbook is somewhat helpful, it is kinda confusing sometimes. For example, this book might need to be updated to match the newer SDK vesion...

The lectures are really interesting, but when you go over the same type of program more than once at the same slow pace, it starts to bore pretty badly... if it weren't for the demotivators and off-the-wall facts I might not have been able to make it through the slower parts of the lecture. Overall, I think you're one of the best professors at making a potentially mind-numbing course into something better than bearable.

The paper tests weren't good. I really don't think they test the students understanding well, because often it's hard to even understand what the question is asking because of the wording. It might be better if bigger programming assignment could be given as midterm grades. Or..instead of one lab quiz, we should have 2 that cover different topics.

great course; makes me want to be a cs major

I think computer science is a course that cannot be taught effectively in a lecture-type setting. It's more of a hands-on course, so having a setting where you can follow the lecture through hands-on demonstrations would be MUCH more effective. Because alot of times the material that we went over in lecture made sense, but when I tried to implement them on my own I didn't know where to start. And I think the main reason for this problem was because we didn't have the hands-on reinforcement.

Learned a lot, material presented well

I started the course dreading CS and I hated it the first few weeks. By the end of the semester I somehow seem to know a thing or two about this programming stuff. I'm not sure where I actually picked it up, but somehow the I did learn a great deal from the course. I learned way more than I ever thought I could. The course was very very well organized and well planned. The labs and homeworks were well thought out, and everything was very effective, especially for such a large class! The thing that I missed was how this CS class applies to further programming skills. I probably won't be taking any more programing, so I would have liked to know how JAVA relates to the CS behind Adobe Photoshop, or how the space shuttle orbits the earth. I realize that there wasn't time in this course for much of that, but I heard none, and I would hav liked some.

w00t

Great course, Mr. Bloomfield is an excellent professor.

This was the best class I took this semester. Yea, it was challenging not having any background in CS, but the structure of the course (ie labs, hws, lectors) allowed me to learn the material in a variety of ways. I also thought that this class had some of the best TAs Ive seen. Instead of getting angry at me for asking questions (like some have done) they were incredibly patient with me and wanted to help me understand the material.

great course bloomfield is the man

Great professor

to many questions for me to finish. sorry.

Challenging and sometimes confusing subject matter but there was no reason to be overwhelmed by it because of all the available TA's and professor's office hours. Fair grading policy.

Well presented

I enjoyed this class

it's over, the time has come for celebration. but can you celebrate the end of the beginning? alas, we're stuck in limbo. drifting through the stages of our apathetic departure and our triumphant return to the course matter. but then again...for now, it's over [i'll get the balloons]

Lecture was great, using the demotivators and other random amusing slides to break up the monotony of learning java was a great idea. The sporadic breaks helped wake me up and kept me focused many a time during lecture. The J homeworks were the most disasterous aspect of the class. While it is good and necessary to get hands on experience programming, for the majority of the homework assignments, I did not feel equipped with the necessary resources or knowledge base to do them alone. The textbook was pretty much worthless as far as help for the homeworks. It is not organized in a very effective manner--it was difficult to find answers to simple questions, for example, how to find the value of the last term in an array. Another one of my major issues was the fact that I never really grasped how methods and classes work together. I still don't really understand what the java.util* is for, how the main method works, and what a GUI is for. Explanation of individual things like for loops, print statements, and that sort of thing was good, but I was/am still very fuzzy on how it all comes together, which was the issue when trying to write a J homework from scratch. I found myself floundering helplessly on the last few homeworks especially--I had to go to a suitemate in 101-E for help figuring out where to even begin. I found a book in Barnes and Noble recently called Java Demystified--I didn't buy it since I was so near the end of the course, but after just paging through it, I feel like it is ten times better than the textbook we used--better organized and with much clearer explanations. All of this is not to say I thought it was a bad course. The instructor was a fantastic lecturer, and the material is definitely worth learning, I just feel that the hands-on part, the writing our own programs, could have been done better--keep the programming really simple until we have a firm handle on the basics.

It was an interesting course.

Great class, great professor

I'm not sure that Java is the best programming language to teach students with absolutely no prior experience. I took this course for my Cognitive Science major and I had no programming experience and was completely lost for a while at first, even though I was getting 100s on the assignments anyway. I still don't know how I'll be able to use any of what I've learned from this course outside of other CS courses.

Shouldn't combine 101 and 101E together.

I liked the demotivators in lecture. I felt that Professor Bloomfield was a good speaker and a fair instructor.

It was good enough class, that I think it was worthwhile. All the materials were great help to understand this course.

Great instructor, and I will have you know that I ASKED before skipping class. I was told that it was acceptable, and thus attended very few subsequent classes.

worthwhile class

This course was sweet. Rock on

Some of the material was not presented as well as everything else, and some of the labs were so hard that you wouldn't get anything out of them. Overall, I really enjoyed the course and am now considering computer science as a minor which I never thought I would.

Aaron Bloomfield did an exceptional job at trying to keep students motivated, or demotivated for that matter, during his lectures and was always a viable option if I needed help.

It was good, preparing me well for CS 201

Hard but fun

I really would just like to thank the TA's because if it was not for them, I never would of been able to complete the last 6 J homeworks. I would especially like to thank Shahrukh Tarapore because he helped me a lot and I really, really appreciate it.

great teacher

Overall a good learning experience.

Considering the size of this class, Prof. Bloomfield did a pretty good job instructing this class. His methods would definitely be more effective in a class of about a hundred. Considering that I knew nothing about computer science, this course taught me a great deal and exposed me to a subject of further exploration. I enjoyed the extra humor in class and the pre-lecture music but was not a big fan of the codelab homeworks. Overall, this was a good course.

Prof Bloomfield was an incredible teacher and I really enjoyed his class!